arXiv:1604.02725v1 [math.GR] 10 Apr 2016

Complexity volumes of splittable groups

Mihalis Sykiotis

April 12, 2016

Abstract

Using graph of groups decompositions of finitely generated groups, we define Euler characteristic type invariants which are non-zero in many interesting classes of finitely presented, hyperbolic, limit and CSA groups, including elementarily free groups and one-ended torsion-free hyperbolic groups whose JSJ decomposition contains a maximal hanging Fuchsian vertex group.

1 Introduction

Following [8], a *generalised Euler characteristic* (or volume) on a class of groups $\mathcal{C}$, closed under subgroups of finite index, is a function $\chi : \mathcal{C} \to R$, where R is a commutative ring, satisfying the following condition: If $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and H is a subgroup of finite index in G, then $\chi(H) = [G : H]\chi(G)$.

The existence of such an invariant, non-vanishing on a group G has two immediate, but important, consequences. First, isomorphic subgroups of finite index of G have the same index. Second, every monomorphism from G to G with image of finite index is an automorphism.

Euler characteristics have been studied by Bass, Brown, Chiswell, Serre, Hattori and Stallings (see [8] and the references contained therein) on classes of groups satisfying certain homological finiteness properties.

The idea of defining generalised Euler characteristics on groups using a limiting process and subgroups of finite index goes back to Wall [25, Problem E10, p. 385]. More precisely, given a real valued function f on a class of groups such that $f(H) \leq [G : H]f(G)$, for any subgroup H of finite index in G, Wall defined

$$\tilde{f}(G) = \inf\left\{ \frac{f(H)}{[G : H]} \ : \ H \text{ subgroup of finite index in } G \right\}$$

and remarked that $\tilde{f}$ is a generalised Euler characteristic. He also gave two examples of functions that satisfy the inequality above: the minimum number of generators for a group G and the minimum (over all presentations) of total of lengths of relators. Examples of

invariants constructed using limits and subgroups of finite index can be found in [24], [33], [20], [7], [34].

In this paper, we follow the approach initiated in [33] to define invariants using graph of groups decompositions of groups. Let $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ be a graph of groups with fundamental group G. A vertex v of Y is said to be *degenerate* if there is an edge e incident to v such that $G_v = G_e$. The *complexity* of $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ is the sum $r(Y)$ of the rank of the fundamental group of Y plus the number of non-degenerate vertices of Y. If G is finitely generated this sum is finite (for details, see Section 2). In the case where some of the vertex groups of $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ are contained in a class endowed with a volume φ, the *weighted complexity* $C^{\varphi}(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ of $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ is defined by the formula $r(Y) + \sum(1 + \varphi(G_v))$, where the sum is taken over all non-degenerate vertices of Y.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of small groups closed under subgroups and let $\mathcal{V}$ be any class of groups closed under subgroups of finite index. The *volume* $V(G)$ of a finitely presented group (or almost finitely presented) G with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is defined to be the upper limit

$$\overline{\lim_{H \in N_G}} \frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G : H]} \in [0, \infty],$$

where N_G is the set of all normal subgroups of finite index in G and $C_{max}(H)$ is the maximal complexity of H over all reduced splittings of H with vertex groups in $\mathcal{V}$ and edge groups in $\mathcal{S}$. Bestvina-Feighn's accessibility theorem [2] ensures that $V(G)$ is finite (see the proof of Prop. 4.7).

In Section 5, we deal with the case of splittings of groups over finite subgroups and, among other things, present a proof of the positivity of the corresponding volume V_{fin} for each finitely presented (or accessible) group with infinitely many ends.

Because of this, in Section 6 we turn our attention to one-ended groups. More precisely, we consider acylindrical splittings of finitely generated, one-ended groups over finitely generated, free abelian groups of rank at most n. We use any "suitable" volume φ on the vertex groups (see Def. 6.1) and work with the corresponding weighted complexity. In this way, we define volumes V_n^{φ} on finitely generated, one-ended groups, using reduced acylindrical splittings as above, of maximal weighted complexity. In this case, finiteness of the volume follows from acylindrical accessibility [28, 35] and an analogue of Grushko's theorem for acylindrical splittings [36].

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose that G is a finitely generated one-ended group which admits an acylindrical splitting $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ over free abelian groups of bounded rank, say by a positive integer n. If there is a vertex group G_v with $\varphi(G_v) > 0$ (where φ is as above), then $V_n^{\varphi}(G) > 0$.*

Theorem 6.8. *Let G be a one-ended finitely generated group such that $V_n^{\varphi}(G) > 0$. If f is an endomorphism of G whose image is of finite index, then the decreasing sequence of images $f^k(G)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is eventually constant. Moreover, if G is torsion-free and every subgroup of finite index is Hopfian (e.g. G residually finite or hyperbolic), then f is an automorphism.*

Groups with the property that every endomorphism with image of finite index is an automorphism are called *cofinitely Hopfian* in [6].

In Sections 7, 8 and 9, we use the volume V_{fin} on vertex groups with infinitely many ends, and show how the above results can be applied in the following cases:

1. Cyclic decompositions of torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic groups.
2. Cyclic decompositions of one-ended limit groups.
3. Abelian decompositions of torsion-free, one-ended CSA groups.

In each of these cases, the existence of "surface type" vertex groups in such a splitting implies that its fundamental group has positive volume. In particular, if G is either an elementarily free group or a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group with Out(G) not virtually finitely generated abelian, then G has positive volume.

Note that limit groups and torsion-free hyperbolic groups are CSA groups. Another source of examples of Hopfian, CSA groups are Γ-limit groups, where Γ is a torsion-free group relatively hyperbolic to a finite family of finitely generated abelian subgroups [12, 13].

2 The weighted complexity of a graph of groups

Let G be a group acting on a tree X (without inversions). By Bass-Serre theory, for which we refer to [9, 32], this is equivalent to saying that G is the fundamental group of the corresponding graph of groups $(\mathcal{G}, X/G)$. We also say that the pair $(\mathcal{G}, X/G)$ is a splitting of G.

A vertex v of X/G is called *degenerate* if there is an edge e incident to v with $G_v = G_e$. We denote by $V_{ndeg}(X/G)$ the set of non-degenerate vertices of X/G, and by $r(X/G)$ the rank of the free group $\pi_1(X/G)$. The *complexity* $C_X(G)$ (or simply $C(G)$ when X is clear from context) of G with respect to the above action is defined to be the sum $C_X(G) = r(X/G) + |V_{ndeg}(X/G)|$, if G contains hyperbolic elements, and 1 otherwise.

In the case where G is finitely generated the above sum is finite. To see this, let X_G be the minimal G-invariant subtree of X, which is the union of the axes of all hyperbolic elements of G. The fact that G is finitely generated implies that the quotient graph X_G/G is finite. On the other hand, by [33, Prop. 2.2], the subtree X_G is a "core" for the action of

G on X in the sense that $r(X/G) + |V_{ndeg}(X/G)| = r(X_G/G) + |V_{ndeg}(X_G/G)|$. It follows that $C_X(G)$ is finite as claimed.

The above definition of complexity differs slightly from that in [33] in what the complexity of an elliptic action (i.e. each group element is elliptic) is now defined to be 1 instead of 0. It seems that 1 is more appropriate for our purposes. Moreover, in the case of an elliptic action of a finitely generated group G, the minimal G-invariant subtree consists of a single vertex.

Suppose now that vertex groups are contained in disjoint classes $\mathcal{C}_i$ of groups each of which is endowed with a volume φ_i. To simplify notation we will denote each φ_i with φ.

Suppose first that the group G acting on X contains hyperbolic elements. As usual, we denote by G_v the stabilizer of the vertex v. In this case, we define the *weighted complexity* C^φ of G with respect to the given action on X by the formula

$$C^\varphi(G) = r(X/G) + \sum [1 + \varphi(G_v)],$$

where the sum is over all non-degenerate vertices of X/G, provided that the rank of X/G is finite and the sum converges (this is the case if G is finitely generated). As before if each element of G is elliptic, then the complexity is defined to be 1. In the case where φ is the trivial volume (i.e. φ is identically zero) the above formula defines the usual complexity $C_X(G)$ of G.

3 Nets of real numbers

We recall some basic definitions and facts concerning partially ordered sets and nets of real numbers.

A partially ordered set $(\Lambda, \leq)$ is called *directed* if for each pair (x, y) of elements of Λ, there is an element z of Λ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$. A subset K of Λ is said to be *cofinal* if for each element x of Λ, there is an element y of K such that $x \leq y$. It is easy to see that each cofinal subset of Λ is a directed set. For example, let G be a group and let Λ_G be the collection of all subgroups of finite index in G. Then Λ_G is a directed set partially ordered by inverse inclusion. The set N_G consisting of all normal subgroups of finite index in G is a cofinal subset of Λ_G.

A *net* $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of real numbers is a function $f : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$, where Λ is a directed set. We say that the net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ converges to a real number ℓ (we write $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \to \ell$ and call ℓ limit of the net) if for each neighborhood U of ℓ, there is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $x_\lambda \in U$ for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Since the space $\mathbb{R}$ is Hausdorff, each net has at most one limit.

Let Λ be a directed set and let $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all cofinal subsets of Λ. If $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a net of real numbers which is bounded, we define the *upper limit* $\overline{\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda}} x_\lambda$ of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ to

be the supremum of the set $A_\Lambda = \{\ell \in \mathbb{R} : (x_\kappa)_{\kappa\in K} \to \ell \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)\}$, provided that $A_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a bounded net of real numbers, where $\Lambda = \Lambda_G$ (or N_G). Then the set A_Λ, defined as above, is non-empty.*

Proof. If G contains finitely many subgroups of finite index, i.e. Λ_G is finite, then the intersection of all subgroups of finite index H_0 is a maximal element of Λ_G and therefore the limit of the net is the element x_{H_0}.

Suppose now that G contains infinitely many subgroups of finite index. Since G is finitely generated, the number of subgroups of (finite) index at most n is finite for every positive integer n. For every n, the intersection H_n of all subgroups of index at most n is a subgroup of finite index, i.e. $H_n \in \Lambda_G$. Thus we have a sequence $H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_n \supseteq \cdots$ of subgroups of finite index which is a cofinal subset of Λ_G. Passing to a subsequence if necessary we may also assume that each inclusion is proper. Being bounded, the real sequence (x_{H_n}) is contained in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and therefore has a convergent subsequence $(x_{H_{m_n}})$. Its limit belongs to A_Λ, since, as is easily verified, $H_{m_1}, H_{m_2}, \ldots$ is a cofinal subset of Λ_G. The case where $\Lambda_G = N_G$ is treated in the same way. □

Remark 3.2. Let K be a cofinal subset of Λ. Since cofinality is a transitive relation, it is clear from the definition that $\overline{\lim_{\kappa\in K}} x_\kappa \leq \overline{\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda}} x_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a bounded net of real numbers. Given an element $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, let $\Lambda_{\lambda_0} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\}$. Then Λ_{λ_0} is a cofinal subset of Λ and $\overline{\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\lambda_0}}} x_\lambda = \overline{\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda}} x_\lambda$.*

Proof. The cofinality of Λ_{λ_0} in Λ is immediate from the definition of Λ_{λ_0}. To prove the second assertion, it suffices to show that the nets $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\lambda_0}}$ have the same limit points. Suppose that ℓ is a limit point of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, i.e., there is a cofinal subset K of Λ such that the net $(x_\kappa)_{\kappa\in K}$ converges to ℓ. Let $\kappa \in K$. Since Λ is directed, there is an element λ of Λ such that $\lambda \geq \kappa$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Since K is cofinal in Λ, there is an element κ_0 of K such that $\kappa_0 \geq \lambda$. It follows that $\kappa_0 \geq \lambda_0$, which means that $\kappa_0 \in \Lambda_{\lambda_0} \cap K$, and that $\kappa_0 \geq \kappa$. This shows that $\Lambda_{\lambda_0} \cap K$ is cofinal in K, and hence ℓ is the limit of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\lambda_0}\cap K}$. A similar argument shows that $\Lambda_{\lambda_0} \cap K$ is also cofinal in Λ_{λ_0}. We conclude that ℓ is a limit point of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\lambda_0}}$. This proves that $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\lambda_0}}$ have the same limit points. □

4 Splittings and Volumes

A finitely generated group G is *small* if it does not admit a minimal action on a tree for which there are two hyperbolic elements whose axes intersect in a compact set. Each finitely generated group not containing the free group of rank 2 is small. A splitting of G

as fundamental group of a graph of groups is *reduced* if for each edge e not a loop, the edge group G_e is contained properly in the vertex groups of its endpoints. This means that for each degenerated vertex the corresponding isomorphism is induced by an edge which is a loop. A G-tree is *reduced* if the corresponding graph of groups is reduced. In [2] the term means something weaker: the vertex group of each vertex of valence two properly contains the corresponding edge groups.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of small groups closed under subgroups and $\mathcal{V}$ a class of groups closed under subgroups of finite index. For each finitely presented group Γ, let $C_{max}(\Gamma)$ denote the maximal complexity of Γ over the complexities of all reduced splittings of Γ over $\mathcal{S}$ with vertex groups in $\mathcal{V}$. Since the complexity of a splitting can be computed using the corresponding minimal invariant subtree, we may suppose that all splittings are minimal.

The main result in [2] insures that $C_{max}(\Gamma) < \infty$. More specifically, Bestvina and Feighn proved that for each finitely presented group, there is an integer $\gamma(\Gamma)$ such that for each reduced Γ-tree X with small edge stabilizers, the number of vertices in the quotient graph X/Γ is bounded above by $\gamma(\Gamma)$. Since the rank of X/Γ is bounded above by the minimal number of generators of Γ, it follows that $C_{max}(\Gamma) < \infty$.

Recall that by N_G we denote the set of all normal subgroups of finite index in G, partially ordered by inverse inclusion.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a finitely presented group. The *volume* $V(G)$ of G with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is the upper limit $\varlimsup_{H \in N_G} \frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} \in [0, \infty]$.

Remark 4.2. If H is a finite index subgroup of G, then H is finitely presented and therefore $C_{max}(H) < \infty$.

Remark 4.3. We could also use any cofinal subset of Λ_G instead of N_G (or other limit point of the net). But, calculations are often simplified by working with normal subgroups.

Remark 4.4. In the case where G contains finitely many subgroups of finite index, then N_G has a minimum element, say H_0, and $V(G) = \frac{C_{max}(H_0)}{[G:H_0]}$. In particular, if G is finite, then $V(G) = \frac{1}{|G|}$.

Remark 4.5. If G contains infinitely many subgroups of finite index and $\mathcal{K}$ is a cofinal subset of N_G with $\left(\frac{C_{max}(K)}{[G:K]}\right)_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \to \ell$, then there exists a sequence K_n in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\left(\frac{C_{max}(K_n)}{[G:K_n]}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \ell$ and $[G : K_n] \to \infty$. Indeed, as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we consider the sequence (H_n), where H_n is the intersection of all subgroups in G of index at most n. Since G is finitely generated and contains infinitely many subgroups of finite index, it follows that $[G : H_n] \to \infty$. The cofinality of $\mathcal{K}$ implies that we can choose for each n a subgroup $K_n \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $K_n \subseteq H_n$ and thus $[G : K_n] \to \infty$. On the other, this sequence is a cofinal subset of $\mathcal{K}$ and therefore $\left(\frac{C_{max}(K_n)}{[G:K_n]}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \to \ell$.

Remark 4.6. Finally, if the vertex groups are partitioned in classes (closed under subgroups of finite index) in each of which is already defined a volume, then we can use in the above definition the weighted complexity.

For a group Γ, we denote by $r(\Gamma)$ the minimum number of generators of Γ.

Proposition 4.7. *Under the above hypotheses, $V(G)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of finite index in G, $(\mathcal{H}, Y)$ a reduced splitting of H over small groups of maximal complexity and X the corresponding universal tree. It is proved in [2] that the number of vertices of Y is bounded above by $94\delta(H) + 233\beta_1(H) + 6\dim H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) - 139$, where $\beta_1(H)$ is the (torsion-free) rank of the abelianization H_{ab} of H and $\delta(H)$ is a constant (see [10, 9]) defined as follows. Let Γ be an almost finitely presented group. For each 2-dimensional complex K such that $H^1(K;\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, on which Γ acts freely with finite quotient L, we define $\delta_\Gamma(L) = 2\dim H^1(L;\mathbb{Z}_2) + a_0 + a_2$, where a_i is the number of i-simplices of L. The minimum of all possible $\delta_\Gamma(L)$, is denoted by $\delta(\Gamma)$.

We first note that $\beta_1(H) \leq r(H) \leq [G:H](r(G)-1)+1$.

To bound the number $\dim H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we use the isomorphisms $H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}\big(H_1(H),\mathbb{Z}_2\big) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_{ab},\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k}\mathrm{Hom}(C_i,\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where C_i are cyclic groups whose direct sum is H_{ab}, i.e. $H_{ab} = C_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_k$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_n,\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[n] = \{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 : ng = 0\}$, it follows that $H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the direct sum of at most k copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and thus $\dim H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) \leq k$. The well-known proof of the existence of the above decomposition of H_{ab} (with induction on its rank) as direct sums of cyclic groups, actually shows that the number of summands is equal to its rank. Hence $\dim H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}_2) \leq k = r(H_{ab}) \leq r(H) \leq [G:H](r(G)-1)+1$.

Fix a finite 2-dimensional complex L with fundamental group G. Let a_i denote the number of i-simplices of L. The group G acts freely on the universal cover K of L with finite quotient. Moreover, $H^1(K;\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$. Since H is of finite index in G, it acts freely on K with finite quotient L_1. If b_i is the number of i-simplices of L_1, then $b_i = [G:H]a_i$. Therefore, $\delta(H) \leq \delta_H(L_1) = 2\dim H^1(L_1;\mathbb{Z}_2) + b_0 + b_2 = 2\dim \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(L_1);\mathbb{Z}_2) + b_0 + b_2 = 2\dim \mathrm{Hom}(H_{ab};\mathbb{Z}_2) + b_0 + b_2 \leq 2[G:H](r(G)-1) + 1 + [G:H]a_0 + [G:H]a_2$.

We conclude that there are constants A, B and C, such that $C_{max}(H) \leq |V(Y)| + r(Y) \leq A \cdot [G:H](r(G)-1) + B + C \cdot [G:H](a_0+a_2)$. It follows that the net $\left(\frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G:H]}\right)_{H\in N_G}$ is bounded above by $A\cdot(r(G)-1) + \frac{B}{[G:H]} + C\cdot(a_0+a_2)$ and hence the volume of G is finite. □

The volume of a finitely presented group (or even of an almost finitely presented group) with respect to a family of splittings as above, is a generalized Euler characteristic in the following sense:

Proposition 4.8. *Let H be a subgroup of finite index in a finitely presented group G. Then $V(H) = [G : H]V(G)$. In particular, if $V(G) \neq 0$, then isomorphic subgroups of finite index of G have the same index and every monomorphism from G to G with image of finite index is an automorphism.*

Proof.

$$V(H) = \overline{\lim_{K \in N_H}} \frac{C_{max}(K)}{[H : K]} = [G : H] \overline{\lim_{K \in N_H}} \frac{C_{max}(K)}{[G : K]} = [G : H]V(G),$$

where the first equality follows from the definition of V and the last one from Lemma 3.3. □

Remark 4.9. The conclusion of the above proposition remains true, if we use weighted complexity and the corresponding volume $V^{\varphi}(G)$ (with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{V}$) is finite. In order to insure that $V^{\varphi}(G) < \infty$, it suffices to impose the following additional hypothesis:

(H) For each subgroup H of finite index in G and each vertex group H_v in any splitting of H, with edge groups in $\mathcal{S}$ and vertex groups in $\mathcal{V}$, which attains $C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)$, there are constants A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 such that $\sum_{v \in V(Y)} r(H_v) \leq A_1 \cdot r(H) + A_2$ and $\varphi(H_v) \leq A_3 \cdot r(H_v) + A_4$.

Indeed, in this case, following the proof of Proposition 4.7, we conclude that there are constants A', B' and C' such that

$$\frac{C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)}{[G : H]} \leq \frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G : H]} + \left(A' \cdot \big(r(G) - 1\big) + \frac{B'}{[G : H]} + C'(a_0 + a_2) \right)$$

and therefore $V^{\varphi}(G) < \infty$.

We will see in section 6, that hypothesis 4.9 is satisfied in many interesting cases.

5 The case of finitely presented groups with infinitely many ends

In this section, we adapt the definition of complexity volume for finitely presented groups with infinitely many ends from [33] to the previous setting (which is essentially the same). We also give a new proof of [33, Theorem 4.7], which works in greater generality (see for example Remark 5.5 and Theorem 6.7).

We consider reduced splittings of a finitely presented group over finite subgroups (without restrictions on vertex groups) and denote by $V_{fin}(G)$ the corresponding volume. It is worth noting that the maximal complexity among such reduced splittings of a finitely generated, torsion-free group G is equal to the number of factors in the Grushko decomposition of G (i.e. each factor is freely indecomposable).

Remark 5.1. It is not difficult to see that $V_{fin}(G)$ can be also defined using splittings over finite subgroups and vertex groups with at most one end, and that it is a finite number if one assumes only that G is accessible (this follows from [25, Lemma 7.6]). In particular, by Linnell's accessibility theorem $V_{fin}(G)$ is finite for each finitely generated virtually torsion free group G. The case of free products, i.e. splttings over the trivial group, is studied in more detail in [34].

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group. If there is a bound C on the orders of the finite subgroups of G, then $V_{fin}(G) \leq C \cdot r(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of G of finite index and $(\mathcal{H}, Y)$ a reduced splitting of H over finite subgroups of maximal complexity. Then, by [11, Theorem 2.3] (see also [22]), $\sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} \frac{1}{|H_e|} \leq r(H)$ and thus $|E_+(Y)| \leq C \cdot r(H)$. It follows that $C_{max}(H) \leq r(Y) + |V(Y)| = |E_+(Y)| + 1 \leq C \cdot r(H) + 1 \leq [G : H]C \cdot (r(G) - 1) + C + 1$. By dividing by $[G : H]$, we see that

$$\frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G : H]} \leq C \cdot (r(G) - 1) + \frac{C + 1}{[G : H]} \leq C \cdot r(G) + 1.$$

Since the net $\left(\frac{C_{max}(H)}{[G:H]}\right)$ is bounded above by $C \cdot r(G) + 1$, the claim follows. □

For details on the computations of Euler characteristics in the first three examples see [33].

Examples 5.3. (i) Let $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n$ be a free product of torsion-free, freely indecomposable groups, satisfying the condition in Remark 4.5. The assumption on the free factors implies that the splitting of any finite index subgroup H of G inherited from the given one of G, is of maximal complexity. By [33, Prop. 3.2], $C_{max}(H) - 1 = [G : H](n-1)$ from which it follows that $V_{fin}(G) = n - 1$. In particular, if F_n is the free group of rank n, then $V_{fin}(F_n) = n - 1$.

(ii) If $G = \underbrace{G_1 * \cdots * G_n}_{\text{torsion-free factors}} * \underbrace{G_{n+1} \cdots * G_{n+m}}_{\text{finite factors}}$ is a free product of freely indecomposable groups, satisfying the condition in Remark 4.5, then
$V_{fin}(G) = n + m - 1 - \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{|G_i|}$.

(iii) If $G = G_1 *_A G_2$, where G_1 and G_2 are finite groups, then
$V_{fin}(G) = \frac{1}{|A|} - \frac{1}{|G_1|} - \frac{1}{|G_2|}$.

(iv) The above formulas can be generalized to virtually torsion-free groups as follows: Let G be a finitely presented group with infinitely many ends, let $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ be a nontrivial finite graph of groups decomposition of G with finite edge groups such that each vertex

group has at most one end, and let X be the corresponding universal tree. Suppose that G contains a normal, torsion-free subgroup H of finite index, satisfying the condition in Remark 4.5 and that $v_1 \dots, v_m$ are the vertices of Y with finite vertex group. The subgroup H acts edge freely on X and each non-trivial vertex stabilizer is infinite and freely indecomposable being of finite index in the corresponding G-stabilizer. Therefore $V_{fin}(H) = n-1$, where n is the number of free factors of H in the free product decomposition associated to the above action, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} n-1 &= C_X(H) - 1 = r(X/H) + |V_{ndeg}(X/H)| - 1 \\ &= |E_+(X/H)| - |V(X/H)| + 1 + |V_{ndeg}(X/H)| - 1 \\ &= |E_+(X/H)| - |V_{deg}(X/H)| = \textstyle\sum_{e\in E_+(Y)} |H\backslash G/G_e| - \sum_{i=1}^m |H\backslash G/G_{v_i}| \\ &\overset{H\trianglelefteq G}{=} \textstyle\sum_{e\in E_+(Y)} \frac{[G:H]}{|G_e|} - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{[G:H]}{|G_{v_i}|} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $V_{fin}(G) = \frac{V_{fin}(H)}{[G:H]} = \sum_{e\in E_+(Y)} \frac{1}{|G_e|} - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|G_{v_i}|}$ (compare with [34, Cor. 3.4]).

(v) Let $G = A *_H B$ or $A*_H$, where A, B are finitely presented virtually torsion-free groups satisfying the condition in Remark 4.5 and H is a finite group. Following the proof of [25, Theorem 7.3], it is easy to show that G is virtually torsion-free and therefore the above formula can be used. If $(\mathcal{G}_A, Y_A)$ and $(\mathcal{G}_B, Y_B)$ are finite graph of group decompositions of A and B, respectively, then we can construct a graph of groups decomposition of G from these by attaching one edge e, with associated edge group G_e such that $|G_e| = |H|$. Now, the formula in the above example implies that $V_{fin}(A *_H B) = V_{fin}(A) + V_{fin}(B) + \frac{1}{|H|}$ and $V_{fin}(A*_H) = V_{fin}(A) + \frac{1}{|H|}$. Both formulas (up to a sign) have been obtained recently in [34], in a more general context, using covering space arguments.

Theorem 5.4. *([33, Theorem 4.7]) Let G be a finitely presented group (or more generally an accessible goup) with infinitely many ends. Then $V_{fin}(G) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ be a reduced graph of groups decomposition of G with finite edge groups and let X be the corresponding universal tree. Then

$$V(X) = \coprod_{v\in V(Y)} G/G_v \quad \text{and} \quad E_+(X) = \coprod_{e\in E_+(Y)} G/G_e.$$

Suppose first that each vertex group if finite. Then G, being free-by-finite with infinitely many ends, has a finitely generated free subgroup F of rank at least 2 of finite index. It follows that $V_{fin}(G) = \frac{V(F)}{[G:F]} = \frac{rank(F)-1}{[G:F]} > 0$.

Now suppose that there is at least one infinite vertex group and that H is a normal subgroup of finite index in G. We note that the vertices and edges of the quotient graph X/H have the following form:

$$V(X/H) = \coprod_{v\in V(Y)} H\backslash G/G_v \quad \text{and} \quad E_+(X/H) = \coprod_{e\in E_+(Y)} H\backslash G/G_e.$$

Let D_H be the set of H-degenerate vertices of X. Since H is normal in G, the set D_H is invariant under the action of G. If $D_H/G = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, then all vertices of type $H\backslash G/G_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ of X/H are degenerate. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we choose an edge $\psi(v_i) \in E_+(Y)$ such that $H_{i(\psi(v_i))} = H_{v_i}$ or $H_{t(\psi(v_i))} = H_{v_i}$, and $H_{v_i} = G_{v_i} \cap H = G_{\psi(v_i)} \cap H = H_{\psi(v_i)}$. In this way we get a map $\psi : \{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \to E_+(Y)$ such that the inverse image of $\psi(v_i)$ under ψ consists of at most two vertices. Now, if $\mathrm{Im}(\psi) = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$, then $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} = \psi^{-1}(e_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \psi^{-1}(e_k)$. Also, since H is of finite index in G, it follows that H_v is of finite index in G_v as well, for each vertex v of X, and therefore the stabilizer G_{v_i} is finite for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

It is easy to check that the collapse of a degenerate edge not a loop (i.e. the operation which makes a graph of groups reduced) can go up complexity by one. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{max}(H) &\geq C(\text{of a reduced obtained from } X/H) \\
&\geq C(X/H) \\
&= r(X/H) + |V_{ndeg}(X/H)| \\
&= |E_+(X/H)| - |V(X/H)| + 1 + |V_{ndeg}(X/H)| \\
&= \sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} |H\backslash G/G_e| - \sum_{i=1}^{m} |H\backslash G/G_{v_i}| + 1 \\
&= \sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} \frac{[G:H]}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[G:H]}{[G_{v_i} : G_{v_i} \cap H]} + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the normality of H in G. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{C_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} &\geq \sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i} : G_{v_i} \cap H]} + \frac{1}{[G:H]} \\
&= \sum_{e \in E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i} : G_{v_i} \cap H]} + \frac{1}{[G:H]} \\
&= \sum_{e \in E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \Big\{ \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{\psi^{-1}(e)} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i} : G_{v_i} \cap H]} \Big\} + \frac{1}{[G:H]} \\
&= \sum_{e \in E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \Big\{ \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{\psi^{-1}(e)} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i} : G_e \cap H]} \Big\} + \frac{1}{[G:H]}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the term $\frac{1}{[G_e:G_e\cap H]} - \sum_{\psi^{-1}(e)} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i}:G_e\cap H]}$ by A_e. We claim that $A_e \geq 0$. Indeed, if e is a loop, then $\psi^{-1}(e)$ consists of a single vertex, say v_i, and $A_e = \frac{1}{[G_e:G_e\cap H]} - \frac{1}{[G_{v_i}:G_e\cap H]} \geq 0$. Moreover, $A_e = 0$ if and only if the vertex of loop e is G-degenerate. If $\psi^{-1}(e) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, then e is not a loop, in particular G_e is properly contained in each of G_{v_1} and G_{v_2} (being Y reduced), and thus $2[G_e : G_e \cap H] \leq [G_{v_i} : G_e \cap H]$, $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $A_e = \frac{1}{[G_e:G_e\cap H]} - \frac{1}{[G_{v_1}:G_e\cap H]} - \frac{1}{[G_{v_2}:G_e\cap H]} \geq \frac{1}{[G_e:G_e\cap H]}\big(1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\big) \geq 0$. Again, $A_e = 0$ if and only if G_e is of index two in each of G_{v_1} and G_{v_2}.

To see that $V_{fin}(G) > 0$ we distinguish two cases. If $E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi) \neq \emptyset$, then clearly $V_{fin}(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G_e:G_e\cap H]} \geq \frac{1}{|G_e|} > 0$ for some $e \in E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi)$. In the opposite case that

$E_+(Y) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi)$, we choose a vertex v of Y with infinite group G_v and an edge e_v in the star of v. Since $e_v \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)$, the edge e_v cannot be a loop and therefore $\psi^{-1}(e_v) = v_i$ for some i. Now, as before the minimality of Y implies that G_e is properly contained in G_{v_i} and hence $V_{fin}(G) \geq \frac{A_e}{|G_e \cap H|} = \frac{1}{|G_e|} - \frac{1}{|G_{v_i}|} > 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 5.5. The crucial property used in the proof of the above theorem is that the set of indices of all subgroups in a finite group is bounded above. So let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class consisting of all small groups with this property. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{S}$ contains infinite groups by considering, for example, direct products $A \times F$, where A is a (finitely generated) periodic simple group (such as a Tarski monster) and F is a finite group. Let us denote by V_{bi} the volume defined using splittings of finitely presented groups over $\mathcal{S}$. The same proof works for infinite edge groups as well. As a direct consequence, we get the following generalization of Theorem 5.4 : Let G be a finitely presented group which admits a nontrivial splitting over $\mathcal{S}$ such that at least one vertex group has no subgroup of finite index contained in $\mathcal{S}$. Then $V_{bi}(G) > 0$.

6 The case of one-ended groups-Acylindrical splittings

Following Sela [28], an action of a group G on a tree T is said to be *k-acylindrical* if the stabilizer of any path of length greater than $k+1$ is trivial. A graph of groups decomposition of G is *k-acylindrical* if the action of G on the corresponding universal tree is k-acylindrical. For example, canonical JSJ decompositions of hyperbolic groups and cyclic decompositions of limit groups are acylindrical (in fact, 2-acylindrical).

In this section, we consider reduced k-acylindrical splittings of one-ended finitely generated groups over finitely generated free abelian subgroups of rank at most n, and use weighted complexity.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of groups (closed under subgroups of finite index) and let φ_0 be a generalized Euler characteristic on $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\varphi_0(\Gamma) \leq A_3 \cdot r(\Gamma) + A_4$ for some constants A_3 and A_4. Let G be a finitely generated one-ended group and $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ a reduced k-acylindrical splitting of G such that each edge group G_e is free abelian with $r(G_e) \leq n$, for a given positive integer n. If G_v is a vertex group of $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$, then we define $\varphi(G_v) = \varphi_0(G_v)$ if G_v is in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi(G_v) = 0$, otherwise.

Let $V_n^{\varphi}(G) = \overline{\lim_{H \in N_G}} \frac{C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} \in [0, \infty]$ be the associated volume.

Remark 6.2. The inequality in the above definition is satisfied by V_{fin} when restricted to finitely generated groups with a uniform bound on the order of finite subgroups (see Lemma 5.2) as well as by the deficiency and rank volumes defined in [24] (with $A_3 = 1$ and $A_4 = -1$).

Suppose for a moment that G is finitely presented. Then, in order to have that $V_n^{\varphi}(G) < \infty$, it suffices, by Remark 4.9, to show that the sum of ranks of non-degenerate vertex groups in any k-acylindrical splitting is bounded above by a linear expression of the rank of the fundamental group. For this we need the following Theorem from [36].

Theorem 6.3 ([36], Theorem 0.1)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ be a k-acylindrical, minimal and without trivial edge groups splitting of a finitely generated group G. Then*

$$r(G) \geq \frac{1}{2k+1}\left(\sum_{v \in V(Y)} r(G_v) - \sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} r(G_e) + 2|E_+(Y)| + r(Y) + 1 + 3k - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \right),$$

where $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to $k/2$.

Moreover, using acylindrical splittings, we can obtain finiteness of $V_n^{\varphi}(G)$ for a finitely generated group G, bypassing the proof of Proposition 4.7, because of the following accessibility result.

Theorem 6.4 ([28, 35])**.** *Let G be a non-cyclic, freely indecomposable finitely generated group which admits a minimal and k-acylindrical action on a tree T. Then the quotient graph T/G has at most $2k(r(G)-1)+1$ vertices.*

Now, combining acylindrical accessibility and Theorem 6.3, we are in position to prove the finiteness of $V_n^{\varphi}(G)$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let G be a one-ended finitely generated group. Then $V_n^{\varphi}(G) < \infty$.*

Proof. Let H be a finite index subgroup of G and $(\mathcal{H}, Y)$ a reduced k-acylindrical splitting of H over free abelian groups of rank at most n. By [33, Prop. 2.2] we may assume that $(\mathcal{H}, Y)$ is minimal.

By Theorem 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\sum_{v \in V(Y)} r(H_v) \leq & \quad (2k+1)r(H) + \textstyle\sum_{e \in E_+(Y)} r(H_e) - 2|E_+(Y)| - r(Y) \\
\leq & \quad (2k+1)r(H) + n|E_+(Y)| - 2|E_+(Y)| - r(Y) \\
\leq & \quad (2k+1)r(H) + (n-2)(r(Y) + |V(Y)| - 1) + r(Y) \\
\leq & \quad (2k+1)r(H) + (n-1)r(Y) + (n-2)(|V(Y)| - 1) \\
\leq & \quad (2k+n)r(H) + (n-2)\big(2k(r(H)-1)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 6.4 (and the well-known fact that the rank of H bounds the rank of Y). It follows that there are constants A_1 and A_2, depending only on k and n, such that $\sum_{v \in V(Y)} r(H_v) \leq A_1 \cdot r(H) + A_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{max}^{\varphi}(H) \leq & \quad r(Y) + \textstyle\sum_{v \in V(Y)} \big(1 + \varphi(H_v)\big) \\
\leq & \quad r(Y) + \textstyle\sum_{v \in V(Y)} \big(A_3 \cdot r(H_v) + A_4 + 1\big) \\
\leq & \quad r(Y) + A_3 \textstyle\sum_{v \in V(Y)} r(H_v) + (A_4+1)|V(Y)| \\
\leq & \quad r(H) + A_3\big(A_1 \cdot r(H) + A_2\big) + (A_4+1)(2k(r(H)-1)+1)
\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality implies that there are constants A and B, depending only on k and n, such that

$$C^{\varphi}_{max}(H) \leq A \cdot r(H) + B \leq A \cdot \big([G:H](r(G)-1)+1\big) + B$$

and therefore

$$\frac{C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} \leq A \cdot \big(r(G)-1\big) + \frac{A+B}{[G:H]}$$

which proves the proposition. □

Remark 6.6. In particular, the proof shows that there are constants C and D depending only on k, n such that $V^{\varphi}_n(G) \leq C \cdot r(G) + D$.

Our first main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose that G is a finitely generated one-ended group which admits an acylindrical splitting $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ over free abelian groups of bounded rank, say by a positive integer n. If there is a vertex group G_v with $\varphi(G_v) > 0$ (where φ is as above), then $V^{\varphi}_n(G) > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi(G_v) > 0$ and each edge group is isomorphic to a proper subgroup of finite index, it follows that v is a non-degenerate vertex. Let X be the corresponding tree and v_0 a lift of v. By replacing X with the minimal G-invariant subtree X_G of X, we may assume that the action is minimal. Note that $v \in X_G/G$ by [33, Prop. 2.2]. We also note that the collapse of an edge does not increase the "degree" of acylindricity. Thus, by collapsing any edge which is not a loop having degenerate endpoint, we can suppose further that $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ is reduced.

Let H be a normal subgroup of G of finite index. If the action of G on X is k-acylindrical, then the action of H on X is k-acylindrical as well and each edge H-stabilizer is free abelian of rank at most n. Since the index $[G_v : G_v \cap H]$ is finite, it follows that $\varphi(G_v \cap H) = [G_v : G_v \cap H]\varphi(G_v) > 0$. This (as before) implies that all vertices gv_0, $g \in G$, are non-degenerate under the action of H and therefore the orbit of v_0 gives $|H\backslash G/G_v| = [G:H]/[G_v : G_v \cap H]$ non-degenerate vertex groups (each isomorphic to $G_v \cap H$) in the quotient graph X/H. Following the proof of Theorem 5.4, and using its notation, we see that:

$$\frac{C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} \geq \sum_{e \in E_+(Y) - \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)} A_e + \frac{1}{[G:H]} + \frac{|H\backslash G/G_v|}{[G:H]}\varphi(G_v \cap H),$$

where $A_e = \frac{1}{[G_e : G_e \cap H]} - \sum_{\psi^{-1}(e)} \frac{1}{[G_{v_i} : G_e \cap H]} \geq 0$.

Hence,

$$\frac{C^{\varphi}_{max}(H)}{[G:H]} \geq \frac{|H\backslash G/G_v|}{[G:H]}\varphi(G_v \cap H) = \frac{1}{[G_v : G_v \cap H]}[G_v : G_v \cap H]\varphi(G_v) = \varphi(G_v),$$

and therefore $V^{\varphi}_n(G) \geq \varphi(G_v) > 0$. □

We close this section by giving a result concerning a form of strong Hopfitity for finitely generated groups with $V_n^{\varphi}(G) > 0$. Recall that a group G is said to be Hopfian if every surjective endomorphism of G is necessarily an automorphism. A well-known result of Malcev states that every finitely generated residually finite group is Hopfian. A deep result of Sela [26] states that any torsion-free hyperbolic group is Hopfian. Note that both classes of groups (i.e. residually finite and hyperbolic) are closed under subgroups of finite index.

The following proposition can be thought of as an algebraic analogue of Theorem 2 in [24] (for the case of infinitely many ends see Proposition 6.10).

Theorem 6.8. *Let G be a one-ended finitely generated group such that $V_n^{\varphi}(G) > 0$. If f is an endomorphism of G whose image is of finite index, then the decreasing sequence of images $f^k(G)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is eventually constant. Moreover, if G is torsion-free and every subgroup of finite index is Hopfian (e.g. G residually finite or hyperbolic), then f is an automorphism.*

Proof. Since each subgroup $f^k(G)$ is of finite index in G, we have $[G : f^k(G)] = \frac{V_n^{\varphi}(f^k(G))}{V_n^{\varphi}(G)}$. By Remark 6.6 the numerator in this expression is bounded above by $C \cdot r(f^k(G)) + D$, and therefore by $C \cdot r(G) + D$. It follows that the set of indices $[G : f^k(G)]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is bounded. On the other hand, G (being finitely generated) has finitely many subgroups of bounded finite index, and the first part of the result follows. In particular, there is k such that the restriction $f : f^k(G) \to f^k(G)$ is onto. Now, the Hopficity of $f^k(G)$ implies that f is an automorphism on $f^k(G)$. Therefore the intersection $\ker(f) \cap f^k(G)$ is trivial and thus $\ker(f)$ is finite. Since G is torsion-free, we have that $\ker(f) = 1$ and f is a monomorphism. Finally, $[G : f(G)] = \frac{V_n^{\varphi}(f(G))}{V_n^{\varphi}(G)} = 1$, which shows that f is onto, hence it is an automorphism. □

Remark 6.9. In the case of 0-acylindrical splittings (i.e. free products), each finite normal subgroup is trivial and therefore from the argument in the above proof follows Theorem 2 of [16] which can be stated as follows: Let G be a finitely generated group with infinitely many ends (in order to have positive volume) which splits as a free product and whose each subgroup of finite index is Hopfian. If f is an endomorphism of G with image of finite index, then f is an automorphism.

Our argument also leads to the following generalization of Hirshon's result:

Proposition 6.10. *Let G be a finitely generated group with infinitely many ends. Suppose that there is a bound (say C) on the order of any finite subgroup of G and that G splits as an amalgam or a HNN extension over a malnormal subgroup. Suppose also that each subgroup of finite index of G is Hopfian. Then each endomorphism of G with image of finite index is an automorphism.*

Proof. Since G has infinitely many ends, we have $V_{fin}(G) > 0$. By Lemma 5.2, $V_{fin}(G) \leq C \cdot r(G) + 1$ while the malnormality assumption implies that each finite normal subgroup of G is also trivial. Note also that if H is a subgroup of G of finite index, then the order of any finite subgroup of H is at most C as well. Therefore $V_{fin}(H) \leq C \cdot r(H) + 1$. Thus the argument in the proof of Theorem 6.8 applies. □

7 Cyclic splittings of one-ended hyperbolic groups

We apply the results of the previous section to cyclic splittings (i.e. each edge group is infinite cyclic) of one-ended, torsion-free hyperbolic groups using weighted complexity with $\varphi_0 = V_{fin}$ for finitely presented, torsion-free vertex groups (see Lemma 5.2 and Definition 6.1). We write V_1^{hyp} to denote the corresponding volume. Since such a splitting is acylindrical, Theorem 6.7 immediately implies:

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group which admits a cyclic splitting with a free non-abelian vertex group. Then $V_1^{hyp} > 0$.*

Example 7.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, Y)$ be a cyclic splitting of a one-ended, torsion-free hyperbolic group such that each vertex group G_{v_i} is a non-abelian free group of rank n_i. Suppose that $E_+(Y) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ and that $V(Y) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$. We denote the edge group G_{e_i} by H_i and the vertex group G_{v_i} by G_i. Let X be the universal tree and H a normal subgroup of G of finite index. Then each vertex of X is non-degenerate under the action of H and we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\varphi}(X/H) &\geq r(X/H) + \textstyle\sum_{v \in V_{ndeg}(X/H)} \big(1 + V_{fin}(H_v)\big) \\ &= |E_+(X/H)| + 1 + \textstyle\sum_{v \in X/H} V_{fin}(H_v) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} |H\backslash G/H_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |H\backslash G/G_i| \cdot V_{fin}(H \cap G_i) + 1 \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{[G:H]}{[G_i:H\cap G_i]} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[G:H]}{[G_i:H\cap G_i]} V_{fin}(H \cap G_i) + 1 \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{[G:H]}{[G_i:H\cap G_i]} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} [G:H] \cdot (r(G_i) - 1) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the formula $V_{fin}(H \cap G_i) = [G_i : H \cap G_i] \cdot V_{fin}(G_i)$ and the fact that $V_{fin}(F) = r(F) - 1$, if F is a free group. Thus

$$\frac{C^{\varphi}(X/H)}{[G:H]} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r(G_i) - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{[H_i : H \cap H_i]} + \frac{1}{[G:H]},$$

and hence $V_1^{hyp}(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r(G_i) - 1)$.

In particular, if G is the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface S_g of genus $g \geq 2$, then the pair of pants decomposition of S_g gives a cyclic splitting for G with $2g-2$ vertices and $3g-3$ edges such that each vertex group is free of rank two. Therefore $V_1^{hyp}(G) \geq 2(g-1) = -\chi(S_g)$, where $\chi(S_g)$ denotes the (usual) Euler characteristic of S_g.

A particularly important class of cyclic splittings of one-ended torsion-free hyperbolic groups are JSJ decompositions. There are two approaches, almost equivalent, both appropriate for our purpose. The first is due to Sela [27] and the other due to Bowditch [3]. We record some of the properties of Bowditch's JSJ decomposition which is completely canonical.

Let G be a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group. Then there is a cyclic splitting of G, called the JSJ decomposition of G, such that each vertex group is either a maximal infinite cyclic subgroup of G, a maximal hanging Fuchsian subgroup, or a rigid vertex group. These three types of vertices are mutually exclusive. A *maximal hanging Fuchsian* (MHF) subgroup is a non-cyclic group which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact surface with boundary and therefore a non-abelian free group. Edge groups incident to a (MHF) vertex group are precisely the peripheral subgroups associated to the boundary components. Rigid vertex groups do not admit splittings over cyclic subgroups relative to the incident edge groups.

Remark 7.3. Note that although the JSJ decomposition of the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface S_g of genus $g \geq 2$ is trivial, by the example above we have that its volume is positive.

Since a (MHF) subgroup is a non-abelian free group, by Proposition 7.1 we obtain:

Corollary 7.4. *Let G be a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group. If the JSJ decomposition of G contains a (MHF) subgroup, then $V_1^{hyp}(G) > 0$.*

Sela [27] (see also [21]) used the JSJ decomposition of a one-ended hyperbolic group G to obtain a description of its outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(G)$, from which one can deduce the existence (or not) of (MHF) vertices.

Corollary 7.5. *Let G be a torsion-free, one-ended hyperbolic group. If the outer automorphism group $Out(G)$ of G is not virtually finitely generated free abelian, then $V_1^{hyp}(G) > 0$.*

Proof. By [27, Theorem 1.9] and [21, Theorem 5.1] there is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Out}_2(G) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V_2} MCG(G_v) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Out}_2(G)$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{Out}(G)$, $\mathcal{T}$ is free abelian of finite rank, V_2 is the set of (MHF) vertices in the JSJ decomposition of G and $MCG(G_v)$ is the mapping class group of G_v. Since $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ has no subgroup of finite index isomorphic to a finitely generated free abelian group, it follows that the set V_2 is non-empty. □

8 One-ended limit groups

A group G is *fully residually free* (or ω-residually free) if, for each finite subset X of G, there exists a homomorphism from G to a free group F which is injective on X. A *limit*

group is a finitely generated fully residually free group. Fully residually free groups have been extensively studied by Kharlampovich and Myasnikov [17, 18], and by Remeslennikov [23] (under the name $\exists$-free groups).

The term "limit group" was introduced by Sela [29] and reflects the fact that they are obtained from limits of sequences of homomorphisms of an arbitrary finitely generated group to a free group.

Examples of limit groups include finitely generated free groups, finitely generated free abelian groups and fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic surfaces with Euler characteristic less than -1.

Here we use the characterization of limit groups as finitely generated subgroups of ω-residually free tower groups [30, 18] (see also [1] for a proof).

Definition 8.1 ([29])**.** An ω-rft space of height 0 is the wedge of finitely many circles, n-dimensional tori $\mathbb{T}^n$ and closed hyperbolic surfaces of Euler characteristic less than -1.

An ω-rft space X_h of height h is obtained from an ω-rft space X_{h-1} of height $h-1$ by attaching a block of one of the two following types:

1. **Abelian block.** X_h is the quotient space $X_{h-1} \sqcup \mathbb{T}^n / \sim$, where a coordinate circle in $\mathbb{T}^n$ is identified with a nontrivial loop in X_{h-1} that generates a maximal abelian subgroup in $\pi_1(X_{h-1})$ (in fact infinite cyclic).

2. **Quadratic block.** $X_h = X_{h-1} \sqcup \Sigma / \sim$, where Σ is a connected compact hyperbolic surface of Euler characteristic at most -2 or a punctured torus whose each boundary component is identified with a homotopically non-trivial loop in X_{h-1}. It is also required that there exists a retraction $r : X_h \to X_{h-1}$ with $r_*(\pi_1\Sigma)$ non-abelian.

Applying the Seifert-van Kampen theorem to the decomposition defined by the final block, we see that the fundamental group of an ω-rft space X_h of height $h \geq 1$ admits a cyclic splitting as a 2-vertex graph of groups where one of the vertex groups is $\pi_1(X_{h-1})$ and the other free or free abelian of finite rank at least 2. Moreover, edge groups are maximal infinite cyclic subgroups in the second type vertex groups. The malnormality of peripheral subgroups in Σ as well as the malnormality of maximal abelian subrgoups in limit groups imply that the above splitting is 2-acylindrical (see [5, Lemma 1.4]).

The *height* of a limit group G is the minimal number h for which there is an ω-rft space X_h of height h such that $\pi_1(X_h)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to G.

We consider cyclic 2-acylindrical splittings of one-ended limit groups using weighted complexity with $\varphi_0 = V_{fin}$ for finitely generated vertex groups with infinitely many ends. Let us denote by V_1^{lim} the associated volume.

Let G be a one-ended limit group which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of an ω-rft space X_h such that the final block added is quadratic. Then the 2-acylindrical

splitting of G, defined as above by the final block, contains a free non-abelian group and thus, by Theorem 6.7, we have $V_1^{lim}(G) > 0$. The following shows that the same is true for any one-ended finitely generated subgroup of height h of G.

Proposition 8.2. *Let H be a one-ended limit group of height $h \geq 1$ and X_h an ω-rft space such that the fundamental group of X_h contains a copy of H. If the final block (in the construction of X_h) is quadratic, then $V_1^{lim}(H) > 0$.*

Proof. In this case it follows as in the proof of [4, Lemma 1.4], that H admits a two-acylindrical splitting as before which has a non-abelian free group. For the reader's convenience, we reproduce here the argument. We consider the minimal H-invariant subtree X_H of the universal tree X corresponding to the given splitting. Since the height of H is h, X_H has exactly two types of vertices: vertices with vertex group a subgroup of an ω-rft space of height $h-1$ and vertices with (finitely generated) free vertex group. Each edge group is non-trivial and hence infinite cyclic being H one-ended. The minimality of X_H implies that X_H/H does not contain degenerate vertices of valence one. Since each peripheral subgroup is maximal (and so malnormal) and distinct peripheral subgroups intersect trivially, it follows that each of the free vertex groups is non-cyclic and hence by Theorem 6.7 $V_1^{lim}(H) > 0$. □

In [31] (see also [19]), Sela proved that a finitely generated group has the same elementary theory as a non-abelian free group if and only if it is the fundamental group of an ω-rft space X_h, whose construction involves only quadratic blocks. Such groups are called *elementarily free*.

Corollary 8.3. *If G is a one-ended elementarily free group, then $V_1^{lim}(G) > 0$.*

Remark 8.4. As before, we consider cyclic 2-acylindrical splittings of one-ended limit groups of height h. If we could show that the height of a limit group is equal to the height of any finite index subgroup, then we could define a volume $V_1^{l,h}$ inductively as follows:

If G is a one-ended limit group of height 0, then G is either a free abelian group of finite rank and thus $V_1^{l,0}(G) = 0$, or a hyperbolic surface group and we define $V_1^{l,0}(G) = V_1^{hyp}(G) > 0$.

If G is a one-ended limit group of height $h > 0$, then we use for any cyclic 2-acylindrical splitting $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Y})$ weighted complexity defined by $\varphi(G_v) = V_{fin}(G_v) > 0$, if G_v is a vertex group with infinitely many ends, and $\varphi(G_v) = V_1^{l,k}(G_v)$, if G_v is a one-ended limit group of height $k \leq h-1$. We denote by $V_1^{l,h}$ the associated volume.

Note that, by Lemma 5.2 and Remark 6.6, hypothesis 4.9 is satisfied in each step. This means that $V_1^{l,h}(G) < \infty$.

By [4, Lemma 1.4], each one-ended limit group G of height h admits a cyclic (acylindrical) splitting such that at least one vertex group is a non-abelian limit group of height

$\leq h-1$. Thus it would follow (inductively) from Theorem 6.7 that $V_1^{l,h}(G) > 0$ for any non-abelian, one-ended limit group.

It is worth noting that if H is a finite index subgroup of a one-ended, limit group G of height 1, then the height of H is also 1. Indeed, this follows immediately by a well-known theorem of Eckmann and Müller which states that each virtual surface group is a surface group. Therefore, the above discussion shows the following:

Corollary 8.5. *Let G be a one-ended, non-abelian limit group of height 1. Then $V_1^{l,1}(G) > 0$.*

9 Acylindrical splittings of CSA groups over abelian subgroups

In this section, we generalize the preceding results to the case of one-ended CSA groups. Recall that a group G is *CSA* (or conjugately separated abelian) if the maximal abelian subgroups of G are malnormal. If Γ is a torsion-free group which is hyperbolic relative to a (finite) family of finitely generated free abelian subgroups, then each Γ-limit group (or equivalently, by Theorem [13, Theorem 5.2], each finitely generated and fully residually Γ) is CSA (see [12, Lemma 6.8]). We refer to [12, 13] for the basic definitions and properties concerning Γ-limit groups.

In particular, limit groups, torsion-free hyperbolic groups and more generally finitely generated subgroups of torsion-free groups hyperbolic relative to a collection of free abelian subgroups, are CSA.

Let G be a finitely generated group. In [14], Guirardel and Levitt gave a method for constructing an acylindrical G-tree T_c, called the *tree of cylinders*, from any G-tree T with edge stabilizers in a class $\mathcal{A}$ closed under conjugation. Using trees of cylinders they obtain $\mathrm{Out}(G)$-invariant splittings which in many cases give a lot of information about the structure of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ (in the same way as in the case of torsion-free hyperbolic groups). The vertex stabilizers of T_c are divided into two types: *rigid* and *flexible*. A vertex stabilizer G_v is rigid if, whenever G_v acts on a tree with edge stabilizers in $\mathcal{A}$, then it stabilizes a vertex, and flexible otherwise (see [15] for more details and for a description of flexible vertex stabilizers when $\mathcal{A}$ consists of slender groups).

If we restrict to the case of splittings of one-ended CSA groups over abelian groups, we have the following result.

Theorem 9.1. *([14, Prop. 6.3], [15, Theorem 9.5]) Let G be a finitely generated, one-ended and torsion-free CSA group, let T be a G-tree with finitely generated abelian edge stabilizers, and let T_c be the associated tree of cylinders.*

1. *Edge stabilizers of T_c are non-trivial and abelian.*

2. *T and T_c have the same non-abelian vertex stabilizers; non-abelian flexible vertex stabilizers are fundamental groups of compact surfaces with boundary.*

3. *T_c is 2-acylindrical.*

Let G be a finitely generated, torsion-free, one-ended CSA group such that abelian subgroups of G are finitely generated of bounded rank. For each acylindrical splitting of such a group over abelian subgroups of bounded rank n, we use weighted complexity with $\varphi_0 = V_{fin}$ (see Definition 6.1) and we denote the associated volume by V_n^{CSA}.

Proposition 9.2. *Let G be a finitely generated, torsion-free, one-ended CSA group such that abelian subgroups of G are finitely generated of bounded rank. Suppose that G admits a splitting over abelian subgroups such that the associated tree of cylinders T_c has a non-abelian flexible vertex stabilizer G_v. Then there exists n such that $V_n^{CSA}(G) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $n = n(G)$ be a positive integer that bounds the ranks of the abelian subgroups of G. In particular, n bounds the ranks of the abelian subgroups of each subgroup H of G. The splitting $(\mathcal{G}, T_c/G)$ of G corresponding to the action on T_c is acylindrical, $\varphi_0(G_v) = r(G_v) - 1 > 0$ (G_v being non-abelian free), so Theorem 6.7 applies. □

Let Γ be a torsion-free group which is hyperbolic relative to a collection $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ of finitely generated free abelian subgroups. Each non-cyclic abelian subgroup of Γ is contained in a conjugate of some A_i (see for example the proof of [13, Lemma 2.2]) and therefore abelian subgroups of Γ are finitely generated of bounded rank. It is also worth noting that each non-abelian, one-ended, strict (i.e. it is not a f.g. subgroup of Γ) Γ-limit group G which is not a surface group, admits a non-trivial abelian splitting [13, Prop. 2.11].

Lemma 9.3. *Let Γ be as above. If G is a Γ-limit group, then abelian subgroups of G are finitely generated of bounded rank.*

Proof. Abelian subgroups of G are finitely generated by [13, Cor. 5.12]. Following the proof (and the terminology) of [13, Prop. 5.11], there is a sequence of shortening quotients of G:

$$G \to L_2 \to \cdots \to L_s$$

such that L_s is a free product of a finitely generated free group and a finite collection of finitely generated subgroups of Γ. Moreover, L_i is a free product of a finitely generated free group and freely indecomposable Γ-limit groups such that each free factor of L_i is either (i) a finitely generated free group; (ii) a finitely generated subgroup of L_{i+1}; or (iii) can be represented as the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups in which the

vertex groups are finitely generated subgroups of L_{i+1} and finitely generated free groups, and the edge groups are finitely generated abelian subgroups.

If A is a non-cyclic abelian subgroup of L_s, then A is contained in a free factor and therefore in Γ. It follows that its rank is bounded by the maximal rank of the parabolic subgroups, say $r(A_{i_0})$. If now A is a non-cyclic abelian subgroup of L_i, then A is contained in a free factor and thus is either a subgroup of L_{i+1} or acts non-trivially on a tree with vertex groups finitely generated subgroups of L_{i+1} and edge groups finitely generated abelian. In the latter case A acts on the associated tree and the axis of any hyperbolic element of A is an A-invariant line. Thus we have an homomorphism from A to $\mathbb{Z}$ whose kernel is an abelian subgroup of L_{i+1}. It follows that, in each case, the rank of A is bounded above by the rank of the kernel plus 1. Finally, the rank of an abelian subgroup of G is bounded by $r(A_{i_0}) + s - 1$. □

By combining the preceding lemma with Proposition 9.2 we obtain:

Proposition 9.4. *Let Γ be a torsion-free group which is hyperbolic relative to a finite collection of free abelian subgroups and let G be a one-ended Γ-limit group. Suppose that G admits a splitting over abelian subgroups which has a non-abelian vertex group isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact surface with boundary. Then there exists a positive integer $n = n(G)$ such that $V_n^{CSA}(G) > 0$.*

Corollary 9.5. *Let Γ and G be as in the preceding proposition. Then each endomorphism of G with image of finite index is an automorphism.*

Proof. By [13, Cor. 5.5], each finitely generated subgroup of a Γ-limit group is Hopfian, and Theorem 6.8 applies. □

It is proved in [6, Theorem 3.1] that each endomorphism of Γ whose image is of finite index in Γ, is a monomorphism.

Corollary 9.6. *Let G be a one-ended group which is either (i) a hyperbolic group with $Out(G)$ not virtually finitely generated free abelian; (ii) an elementarily free group; or (iii) a non-abelian limit group of height 1. Then every endomorphism of G with image of finite index is an automorphism.*

Proof. It follows from Corollaries 7.5, 8.3 and 8.5, that in each case the (corresponding) volume of G is positive. □

References

[1] E. Alibegović and M. Bestvina, Limit groups are $CAT(0)$, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **74** (2006), 259–272.

[2] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn, Bounding the complexity of simplicial group actions on trees, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), No.3, 449–469.

[3] B. Bowditch, Cut points and canonical splittings of hyperbolic groups. Acta Math., **180**(2) (1998), 145–186.

[4] M.R. Bridson and J. Howie, Normalisers in limit groups, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), 385–394.

[5] M.R. Bridson and J. Howie, Subgroups of direct products of elementarily free groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. **17** (2007), 385–403.

[6] M. R. Bridson, D. Groves, J. A. Hillman, and G. J. Martin, Cofinitely Hopfian groups, open mappings and knot complements, Groups Geom. Dyn. **4** (2010), no. 4, 693–707.

[7] M. R. Bridson and D. H. Kochloukova, Volume gradients and homology in towers of residually-free groups, `http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1309.1877`

[8] I. M. Chiswell, Euler characteristics of discrete groups, in Groups: topological, combinatorial and arithmetic aspects (ed. T. W. Müller), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **311**, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004), 106-254.

[9] W. Dicks and M.J. Dunwoody, "Groups acting on graphs", Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[10] M.J. Dunwoody, The accessibility of finitely presented groups, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 449–457.

[11] M.J. Dunwoody, Folding sequences, in The Epstein birthday schrift, Geom. Topol. (Coventry) (1998), p. 139–158 (electronic).

[12] D Groves, Limit groups for relatively hyperbolic groups, I: The basic tools, `http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/0412.5492`

[13] D. Groves, Limit groups for relatively hyperbolic groups, II: Makanin-Razborov diagrams, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 2319–2358.

[14] V. Guirardel and G. Levitt, Trees of cylinders and canonical splittings, Geom. Topol., **15** (2) (2011), 977–1012.

[15] V. Guirardel and G. Levitt, JSJ decompositions of groups, `http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1602.05139`

[16] R. Hirshon, Descending chains in free products, Math. Z. **164** (1979), 293–296.

[17] O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov, Irreducible affine varieties over a free group. I. Irreducibility of quadratic equations and Nullstellensatz, J. Algebra **200** (1998), no. 2, 472–516.

[18] O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov, Irreducible affine varieties over a free group. II. Systems in triangular quasi-quadratic form and description of residually free groups, J. Algebra **200** (1998), no. 2, 517-570.

[19] O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov, Elementary theory of free nonabelian groups, J. Algebra **302** (2006), 451-552.

[20] M. Lackenby, Expanders, rank and graphs of groups, Israel J. Math. **146** (2005), 357– 370.

[21] G. Levitt. Automorphisms of hyperbolic groups and graphs of groups. Geom. Dedicata, **114** (2005), 49–70,.

[22] P. A. Linnell, On accessibility of groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **30** (1) (1983), 39–46

[23] V. N. Remeslennikov, $\exists$-free groups, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **30** (1989), 193–197.

[24] A. Reznikov, Volumes of discrete groups and topological complexity of homology spheres , Math. Ann. **306** (1996), 547–554.

[25] P. Scott and C. T. C. Wall, Topological methods in group theory, in Homological group theory (ed. C. T. C. Wall), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **36**, Cambridge Univ. Press (1979), 137–203.

[26] Z. Sela, Endomorphisms of hyperbolic groups: I, Topology **38** (1999), 301–321.

[27] Z. Sela, Structure and rigidity in (Gromov) hyperbolic groups and discrete groups in rank 1 Lie groups. II, Geom. Funct. Anal., **7**(3) (1997), 561–593.

[28] Z. Sela, Acylindrical accessibility for groups, Invent. Math. **129**, no.3, (1997), 527–565 .

[29] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups I: Makanin-Razborov diagrams. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci., **93** (2001), 31–105.

[30] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups II: Completions, closures and formal solutions, Israel J. Math. **134** (2003), 173254.

[31] Z. Sela, Diophantine geometry over groups VI: The elementary theory of free groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), 707–730.

[32] J.-P. Serre, "Trees", Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.

[33] Mihalis Sykiotis, On subgroups of finite complexity in groups acting on trees, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **200** (2005), 1–23.

[34] K. Tsouvalas, Euler characteristics on virtually free products, `http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1511.09436`

[35] R. Weidmann, The Nielsen method for groups acting on trees, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **85**, no. 1, (2002), 93-118.

[36] R. Weidmann, A rank formula for acylindrical splittings, `https://www.math.uni-kiel.de/algebra/de/weidmann/research/`

Department of Mathematics
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
Panepistimioupolis, GR-157 84, Athens, Greece
e-mail: msykiot@math.uoa.gr